Description

The rise of visual summaries has changed how researchers discover and share papers online. Early evaluations found that tweets with visual abstracts produced substantially higher impressions, retweets, and article visits compared with text-only tweets one early study reported roughly a 7-8× increase in retweets and a threefold increase in article visits.

As a result, journal platforms now embed graphical abstracts into article pages, tables of contents, and search-result displays. However, major publishers differ in placement, technical requirements, and editorial intent. This article explains how Elsevier, Springer Nature, and the American Chemical Society (ACS) use graphical abstracts to boost online visibility, compares their standards, and provides practical, publisher-specific guidance authors can apply before submission.

How the Three Publishers Use Graphical Abstracts

Elsevier: Site-wide Visual Summary and Search Thumbnails

Elsevier promotes a single, concise graphical abstract that appears alongside the article on ScienceDirect, in online tables of contents, and in some search-result listings. Key characteristics include:

- Clear visual flow (top-to-bottom or left-to-right)
- Specific pixel dimensions and minimum DPI
- Submission as a separate file

In most cases, the graphical abstract does not appear in the PDF or print version. Elsevier also recommends approved fonts and file types and reminds authors to secure permissions for any third-party material used.

Springer Nature: In-page Visual Under the Abstract

Springer Nature journals (including BMC titles) typically place a visual or graphical abstract directly beneath the text abstract on the article web page. Features include:

- Landscape orientation optimized for in-page viewing

- Approximate pixel dimensions and maximum file-size limits
- Consistent guidance across many Springer journals

Springer also offers paid author services for designing or polishing graphical abstracts and often integrates visuals with Research Square preprints. Editorial emphasis is placed on simplicity, readability, and accessibility for broad audiences.

ACS: Table of Contents (TOC) Graphic as Formal Metadata

ACS requires a Table of Contents (TOC) graphic (also called an abstract graphic) for most journals. This image:

- Appears after the abstract on the article page
- Is used in the journal's TOC listings
- Is treated as a formal, indexable article element

ACS guidance is strict: the TOC graphic must be original, visually representative of the entire work (not a reused figure), sized to the journal's TOC box (commonly ~3.5 in × 1.375 in), and compliant with copyright and permissions rules. Authors are encouraged to design the graphic at final publication size for legibility.

Why Publisher Differences Matter for Online Visibility

Placement and Indexing

Images displayed in search-result thumbnails, contents lists, and social preview cards significantly increase click-through rates. Elsevier and ACS explicitly use graphical/TOC graphics in these contexts, while Springer Nature emphasizes visibility on the article landing page.

Technical Standards

Aspect ratios, resolution, and file formats matter because graphical abstracts are resized across platforms. Low-resolution or text-heavy images lose clarity when scaled down. Controlled trials consistently show that well-designed visual abstracts increase impressions and engagement on social media.

Editorial Intent

- ACS treats the TOC graphic as formal article metadata
- Elsevier and Springer emphasize interdisciplinary clarity and accessibility
- Springer Nature additionally supports authors through design services

Understanding these intents helps authors balance scientific detail with visual simplicity.

Practical, Publisher-Specific Steps for Authors

Before Creating the Image

- Identify the target journal and review its graphical abstract or TOC guidance
- Decide whether the visual is for journal display only, social media, or both

Design and Content (What to Show)

- Focus on 1-3 key messages (question, method snapshot, main result)
- Use minimal text, clear icons, and high-contrast, colorblind-safe palettes
- Prefer vector files for diagrams; use high-resolution TIFF/PNG for raster images when required

Publisher-Specific Formatting

- Elsevier: Separate file; meet minimum pixel/DPI specs (e.g., ~1328 × 531 px at 300 dpi); avoid headings inside the image
- Springer Nature: Landscape image (~920 × 300 px); small file size; sparse text; optional design services available
- ACS: Original TOC graphic sized to journal box; legible at final print size; no copyrighted third-party imagery

Accessibility, AI, and Permissions

- Add alt text when the submission system allows
- Follow publisher policies when using AI-assisted design tools and disclose use if requested
- Secure permissions for any third-party material; ACS explicitly requires model releases for identifiable individuals

Common Mistakes to Avoid

- Overcrowded, multi-panel designs
- Text-heavy visuals that fail at thumbnail size
- Incorrect sizing or file formats
- Missing copyright permissions or documentation

Conclusion and Next Steps

Graphical abstracts are now a standard tool for improving online discoverability, but publisher expectations differ. Elsevier prioritizes search and TOC thumbnails, Springer Nature emphasizes in-page visibility and accessibility, and ACS treats the TOC graphic as formal article metadata. Authors should tailor visuals to both journal rules and promotion channels. When well designed, graphical abstracts reliably boost engagement; citation gains depend on discipline, design quality, and dissemination strategy.

For authors seeking support, professional services can help translate results into journal-compliant visuals. Enago's graphical abstract and research-impact services assist with design, permissions, and

formatting across publisher platforms, helping visuals perform consistently from submission through promotion. (enago.com)

While the goal of increasing research visibility is universal, the execution of graphical abstracts depends heavily on the specific requirements of the publisher. Elsevier prioritizes high-resolution thumbnails for search and discovery, Springer Nature emphasizes in-page accessibility and broad clarity, and the ACS treats the TOC graphic as a formal piece of article metadata. Aligning a visual strategy with these distinct editorial intents ensures that research remains legible and impactful across different platforms, from mobile social feeds to formal archival databases.

The challenge for most researchers lies in balancing rigorous scientific accuracy with the technical design constraints mandated by these major houses. Enago's Graphical Abstract services address this by providing a specialized team that understands both the science and the specific "Guide for Authors" for every major publisher. Professional designers work to create purpose-built visuals that meet strict DPI, pixel, and copyright standards. By offloading the design and formatting process to experts, authors can ensure their work stands out in tables of contents and search results while remaining fully compliant with publisher policies.

Category

1. Publishing Research

Date Created
2026/02/09
Author
editor